

## Prasugrel: The Triton Shell to Soothe Platelet Reactivity

ANTONIO TELLO-MONTOLIU<sup>1</sup>, DOMINICK J. ANGIOLILLO<sup>1</sup>

Over the past decade, the benefits of dual therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel, a second-generation thienopyridine, has been widely demonstrated by several clinical trials in high risk patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS), particularly in those undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). (1, 2) However, a considerable number of patients continue to have atherothrombotic events, including stent thrombosis, despite adequate treatment compliance. (3, 4) The variability of clopidogrel-induced antiplatelet effect has been recently demonstrated, with a positive correlation with the recurrence of thrombotic events. In fact, inadequate inhibition of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> adenosine diphosphate receptor has been proposed as one of the causes of variability of clopidogrel therapy. (3, 4) These findings have encouraged looking for a greater inhibition of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor, either by increasing clopidogrel dose or by developing new more potent antiplatelet drugs to reduce the incidence of recurrent thrombotic events. (5)

Prasugrel, a third-generation thienopyridine which has been recently approved for human use, has a more favorable pharmacokinetic and metabolic profile compared to clopidogrel. (6) Like clopidogrel, prasugrel is an inactive pro-drug that requires oxidation by the hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) system to generate an active metabolite with an antiplatelet effect equivalent to that of clopidogrel. (7, 8) However, compared with clopidogrel which is activated in a two-step process, prasugrel is more efficiently transformed into its active metabolite (reactive thiol group) in a single-step process. (7, 8) Thus, prasugrel produces a better and more potent blockade of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors, (6) demonstrated by a faster, more potent and more predictable platelet inhibition observed in pharmacodynamic studies comparing prasugrel versus high dose clopidogrel. In general, platelet inhibition occurs 30 minutes after an oral loading dose of 60 mg prasugrel, with the maximum inhibition seen at 2-4 hours. (9, 10) In this issue of the *Revista*, Candiello et al. (11) confirm greater platelet inhibition in patients receiving a loading dose of 60 mg prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in a population

of 83 consecutive and stable patients after successful PCI (clopidogrel = 42; prasugrel = 41). Twelve to 24 hours after receiving the loading dose, patients treated with prasugrel presented greater platelet inhibition compared to those treated with clopidogrel evaluated with the VerifyNow-P2Y<sub>12</sub> system [median 49 (9-78) vs. 160 (82-224), respectively,  $p < 0.001$ ]. Prasugrel has greater clinical efficacy compared to clopidogrel due to its better pharmacodynamic profile. This was demonstrated by the TRITON (Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel) - TIMI (Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction) trial, which reported a significant reduction in death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke. (12) Yet, this reduction in the incidence of ischemic events was at the expense of a higher rate of bleeding in patients treated with prasugrel, although these patients had greater net clinical benefit. (12)

### THE STRONGER THE BETTER, OR A DEFINED LEVEL OF CALM?

Several investigations have demonstrated the relationship between inadequate blockade of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor and the presence of recurrent adverse events evaluated by platelet function tests. Of particular interest, point-of-care or near-bedside platelet function tests as the VerifyNow-P2Y<sub>12</sub> system are easy to use and provide rapid results in about 5 minutes. (13) Previous observational studies and a recent meta-analysis have demonstrated the association between the levels of platelet inhibition measured by the VerifyNow-P2Y<sub>12</sub> system with the presence of long-term adverse events after PCI, including death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction and stent thrombosis. In addition, a cutoff point of  $\geq 230$  P2Y<sub>12</sub> reaction units (PRUs) to define high residual platelet reactivity (HRPR) despite antiplatelet therapy may predict greater incidence of adverse events. (13, 14) The level of platelet inhibition and HRPR achieved with prasugrel is more predictable. (6)

In their study, Candiello et al. show that using a

cutoff point of  $> 230$  PRUs, 24% ( $n = 10$ ) of patients treated with clopidogrel presented HRPR versus no patients in the prasugrel group.

After the administration of a loading dose of 60 mg prasugrel in patients pre-treated with clopidogrel, the level of platelet reactivity was below the cutoff point established (from PRU 279 [262-322] to PRU 49 [7-104]). The study by Candiello et al. has multiple limitations: the small sample size, the inclusion of low-risk patients and the use of a single blood sample in a pharmacodynamic study, among others. However, the question is if we should use these new and more potent antiplatelet agents in all our patients in daily practice or, on the contrary, we should only think of prescribing them to patients with high residual platelet reactivity despite the correct treatment with the “old” regime. Interestingly, patients with very high levels of platelet inhibition or hyperresponders are associated with greater risk of bleeding, (15) which might explain the results of the TRITON-TIMI 38 (12) clinical trial. Therefore, the ideal situation would be to keep our patients below the threshold HRPR level to prevent thrombotic events but also above the lower limit to avoid bleeding events. This concept, which has been defined as “therapeutic window” of the levels of platelet inhibition associating less thrombotic and bleeding events, is currently under investigation. (16, 17)

#### **MONITORING ANTIAGGREGATION THERAPY: A MYTH OR (CLOSE TO) REALITY?**

The levels of platelet reactivity, particularly those measured by the VerifyNow-P2Y12 system have been associated with recurrent events in observational studies. Yet, the attempts to confirm this association have failed in randomized clinical trials using different dose regimes. (15) In the Testing Platelet Reactivity In Patients Undergoing Elective Stent Placement on Clopidogrel to Guide Alternative Therapy with Prasugrel (TRIGGER-PCI) trial, (18) stable coronary artery disease patients with HRPR ( $>208$  P2Y12 reaction units [PRU] by the VerifyNow test) after elective PCI with at least 1 drug-eluting stent were randomly assigned to either prasugrel 10 mg daily or clopidogrel 75 mg daily. Despite the reduction in the levels of platelet reactivity achieved in patients of the prasugrel arm (94.%) vs. those in the clopidogrel arm, reaching values of HRPR below the levels defined, the study was stopped prematurely for futility of this therapeutic strategy because of a lower than expected incidence of the primary endpoint.

The use of platelet function tests for personalized antiplatelet treatment should not be recommended in all cases until the results of new ongoing clinical trials, as the Double Randomization of a Monitoring Adjusted Antiplatelet Treatment Versus a Common Antiplatelet Treatment for DES Implantation, and Interruption Versus Continuation of Double Antiplatelet Therapy (ARCTIC) trial (19) are published. (20) Anyway, the better definition of

the cutoff point or of the group of more appropriate patients for such measures are some of the numerous limitations which may explain the negative results previously obtained. (15) The proper identification of patients seems to be important, as most clinical trials recruited almost all low-risk patients, while observational studies included patients with a wide range of risk. Interestingly, a meta-analysis recently published included randomized trials that reported the clinical impact of using an intensified antiplatelet protocol (repeated loading or elevated maintenance doses, or adding other more potent antiplatelet agents, as prasugrel or glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor) on the basis of platelet reactivity testing (including the VerifyNow-P2Y12 system), compared to standard-dose clopidogrel. The study suggested that intensifying antiplatelet therapy reduces cardiovascular mortality and stent thrombosis, depending on the baseline risk during standard-dose clopidogrel. (21) Although the results of using personalized antiplatelet treatment with more potent agents are still not promising, the ongoing clinical trials might help to define better cutoff values to define thrombotic and bleeding risks. This might contribute to a better design of the “therapeutic windows” based on patients’ risks and clinical scenarios, offering a better balance between efficacy and safety for the variety of antiplatelet agents currently available.

In conclusion, prasugrel is a third-generation thienopyridine that presents a more potent and predictable pharmacodynamic profile compared to clopidogrel, which is produced by a more favorable pharmacokinetic profile, as it is a pro-drug that is activated in a more efficient fashion. All these properties produce better clinical efficacy; therefore, prasugrel may be recommended as first-line therapy for the treatment of ACS. However, personalized antiplatelet treatment with prasugrel or other antiaggregant agent based on platelet function tests is not recommended in all patients. Further studies are required to define the adequate scenarios in which these measures could be applied.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, et al. Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events Trial (CURE) Investigators: Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. *Lancet* 2001;358:527-33. <http://doi.org/cx6282>
2. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, López-Sendón JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al. Clopidogrel as Adjunctive Reperfusion Therapy (CLARITY) - Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 28 Investigators: Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with fibrinolytics: the PCI-CLARITY study. *JAMA* 2005;294:1224-32. <http://doi.org/dsqz73>
3. Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, Alfonso F, Macaya C, Bass TA, et al. Variability in individual responsiveness to clopidogrel: clinical implications, management, and future perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:1505-16. <http://doi.org/djkg52>

4. Ferreiro JL, Angiolillo DJ. Clopidogrel response variability: current status and future directions. *Thromb Haemostasis* 2009;102:7-14.
5. Angiolillo DJ, Ferreiro JL. Platelet adenosine diphosphate P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor antagonism: benefits and limitations of current treatment strategies and future directions. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2010;63:60-76. <http://doi.org/cb2crq>
6. Tomasello SD, Tello-Montoliu A, Angiolillo DJ. Prasugrel for the treatment of coronary thrombosis: a review of pharmacological properties, indications for use and future development. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2011;20:119-33. <http://doi.org/fvc37m>
7. Farid NA, McIntosh M, Garofolo F, Wong E, Shwajch A, Kennedy M, et al. Determination of the active and inactive metabolites of prasugrel in human plasma by liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 2007;21:169-79. <http://doi.org/fhpc23>
8. Farid NA, Payne CD, Small DS, Winters KJ, Ernest CS 2nd, Brandt JT, et al. Cytochrome P450 3A inhibition by ketoconazole affects prasugrel and clopidogrel pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics differently. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2007;81:735-41. <http://doi.org/b8xx4q>
9. Jernberg T, Payne CD, Winters KJ, Darstein C, Brandt JT, Jakubowski JA, et al. Prasugrel achieves greater inhibition of platelet aggregation and a lower rate of nonresponders compared with clopidogrel in aspirin-treated patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Eur Heart J* 2006;27:1166-73. <http://doi.org/b7j72h>
10. Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, O'Donoghue M, Neumann FJ, Michelson AD, et al. PRINCIPLE-TIMI 44 Investigators: Prasugrel compared with high loading- and maintenance dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the Prasugrel in Comparison to Clopidogrel for Inhibition of Platelet Activation and Aggregation - Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 44 trial. *Circulation* 2007;116:2923-32. <http://doi.org/b4q7m6>
11. Candiello A, Cura F, Trivi M, Padilla LT, Albertal M, Nau G y col. Tratamiento antiagregante guiado por pruebas de función plaquetaria en pacientes sometidos a angioplastia coronaria exitosa. *Rev Argent Cardiol* 2012;80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7775/rac.es.v80.i5.870>
12. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Gottlieb S, et al. TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators: Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2001-15. <http://doi.org/d2spwt>
13. Bonello L, Tantry US, Marcucci R, Blindt R, Angiolillo DJ, Becker R, et al; Working Group on High On-Treatment Platelet Reactivity. Consensus and future directions on the definition of high on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;56:919-33. <http://doi.org/crhtms>
14. Brar SS, ten Berg J, Marcucci R, Price MJ, Valgimigli M, Kim HS, et al. Impact of platelet reactivity on clinical outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention. A collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1945-54. <http://doi.org/fbx9xb>
15. Tello-Montoliu A, Ueno M, Angiolillo DJ. Antiplatelet drug therapy: role of pharmacodynamic and genetic testing. *Future Cardiol* 2011;7:381-402. <http://doi.org/cgprxr>
16. Campo G, Parrinello G, Ferraresi P, Lunghi B, Tebaldi M, Miccoli M, et al. Prospective evaluation of on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity over time in patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention relationship with gene polymorphisms and clinical outcome. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:2474-83. <http://doi.org/cmmpmk8>
17. Patti G, Pasceri V, Vizzi V, Ricottini E, Di Sciascio G. Usefulness of platelet response to clopidogrel by point-of-care testing to predict bleeding outcomes in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (from the Antiplatelet Therapy for Reduction of Myocardial Damage During Angioplasty-Bleeding Study). *Am J Cardiol* 2011;107:995-1000. <http://doi.org/dxht6s>
18. Trenk D, Stone GW, Gawaz M, Kastrati A, Angiolillo DJ, Müller U, et al. A randomized trial of prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with high platelet reactivity on clopidogrel after elective percutaneous coronary intervention with implantation of drug-eluting stents: results of the TRIGGER-PCI (Testing Platelet Reactivity In Patients Undergoing Elective Stent Placement on Clopidogrel to Guide Alternative Therapy With Prasugrel) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:2159-64. <http://doi.org/h9j>
19. Collet JP, Cayla G, Cuisset T, Elhadad S, Rangé G, Vicaut E, et al. Randomized comparison of platelet function monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy versus standard of care: rationale and design of the assessment with a double randomization of (1) a fixed dose versus a monitoring-guided dose of aspirin and clopidogrel after DES implantation, and (2) treatment interruption versus continuation, 1 year after stenting (ARCTIC) study. *Am Heart J* 2011;161:5-12.e5.
20. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, Chambers CE, Ellis SG, Guyton RA, Hollenberg SM, Khot UN, Lange RA, Mauri L, Mehran R, Moussa ID, Mukherjee D, Nallamothu BK, Ting HH. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *Circulation* 2011;124:2574-609. <http://doi.org/cfwpgg>
21. Aradi D, Komcsi A, Price MJ, Cuisset T, Ari H, Hazarbasanov D, Trenk D, Sibbing D, Valgimigli M, Bonello L; On behalf of the Tailored Antiplatelet Treatment Study Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of intensified antiplatelet therapy on the basis of platelet reactivity testing in patients after percutaneous coronary intervention: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2012 Jun 15. [Epub ahead of print]